Discussion of "Implied Impermanent Loss: A Cross-Sectional Analysis of Decentralized Liquidity Pools"

Pablo Daniel Azar [1]

March 19, 2025

[1] The views expressed in this discussion are solely those of the author and do not represent the views of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, the Federal Reserve Board, or the Federal Reserve System.

Paper Overview

- **Objective**: Develop a risk-neutral valuation of impermanent loss (IL) in decentralized liquidity pools and analyze its impact on liquidity provider (LP) returns.
- **Methodology**:
  - Continuous-time stochastic model for token prices and liquidity pool dynamics.
  - Estimation of joint risk-neutral distribution using the Hansen-Jagannathan bound.
  - Valuation of IL using options data and implied correlation.
- **Key Findings**:
  - Implied IL (IIL) is composed of token volatilities and their correlation.
  - IIL and its components predict future LP returns in the cross-section.
  - Higher IIL corresponds to higher future annual percentage rates (APRs).

Literature Review

- **Decentralized Finance and Liquidity Provision**:
  - Malinova and Park (2024): Benefits of decentralized exchanges for risk-sharing.
  - Lehar and Parlour (2023): Equilibrium liquidity pool size balances fee revenue and IL.
  - Heimbach et al. (2022): Risks and returns of liquidity providers in Uniswap V3.
- **Risk-Neutral Pricing and Derivatives**:
  - Carr and Madan (1998): Valuation of variance swaps.
  - Martin (2016): Risk-neutral pricing and the VIX.
- **Correlation and Dependence Modeling**:
  - Driessen et al. (2009): Implied correlation as a measure of systematic risk.
  - Longin and Solnik (2001): Extreme correlation in financial markets.

Generalize the AMM Framework

- The model assumes a constant product rule, but Uniswap V3's concentrated liquidity alters IL dynamics.
- Extend the model to incorporate concentrated liquidity or other advanced AMM designs.
- Aligns the framework with current DEX practices.

Refine Risk-Neutral Assumptions

- The risk-neutral measure overlooks DEX-specific frictions like gas costs and slippage.
- Is it feasible to include transaction costs into the valuation model?
- Improves realism and applicability to real-world DEX environments.

Enhance Tail Risk Modeling

- The Hansen-Jagannathan bound may not fully capture extreme price movements.
- Use copulas or extreme value theory to model tail dependencies.
- Better estimates IL during market stress, a key concern for LPs.

Expand Empirical Analysis

- Focus on BTC-ETH limits insights; stablecoin-volatile pairs are prevalent.
- Include a cross-section of pool types (e.g., stablecoin-volatile, low-liquidity).
- Broadens the study's scope and tests predictions across diverse pools.

Bridge Theory to Practice

- The paper stops short of discussing implications for LP strategies or AMM design.
- Include a section on how IIL can inform LP positioning or DEX improvements.
- Amplifies the paper's impact for DeFi practitioners.