

Discussion of “Risk-based Capital for Stable Value Tokens”

by Gordon Y. Liao, Dan Fishman, and Jeremy Fox-Geen

Pablo Azar¹

New York Fed

April 2, 2025

¹The views expressed in this discussion are solely those of the author and do not represent the views of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, the Federal Reserve Board, or the Federal Reserve System.

Paper Summary: TCAF Framework

- Proposes TCAF: risk-based capital model for stablecoins.
- Covers financial (market, credit) & non-financial (tech, ops) risks.
- Methodology uses stress tests, VaR, and liquidation impact.

Contributions

- Addresses complex non-financial risks unique to tokens.
- Advocates risk-sensitivity over static fixed ratios.
- Provides a tailored capital assessment methodology.

Critique: Hindsight vs. Foresight

- TCAF calibration demonstrated well on past failures (SVB, Terra).
- Parameterizing risk is simpler **after** the fact.
- Prospectively calibrating for novel risks remains the core challenge.

Context: Persistent Exchange Risk

- Recent major hacks: Bybit ('25, \$1.5B), DMM ('24, \$305M), WazirX ('24, \$235M).
- History is replete with failures: Mt. Gox, FTX, QuadrigaCX, etc.
- Centralized counterparty risk remains acute.

Context: Persistent Protocol Risk

- Code is law, until exploited: Curve ('23, \$69M), Ronin ('22, \$600M+).
- Vulnerabilities persist in smart contracts and bridges.
- Poly Network ('21, \$611M initial) highlights interoperability risk.
-
- An Ethereum hack on 7% of the days (Azar and Farboodi, 2025)

Context: Interconnectedness And Amplification

- DeFi risks cascade; Curve hack impacted Aave via collateral price drops.
- Flash loans amplify exploit potential and contagion effects.
- Novel structures (e.g., tokenized T-bills, bridges) introduce new links.

Key Question: Collateral Quality

- TCAF implicitly favors HQLA (Treasuries) over volatile crypto.
- Why should crypto assets ever qualify as eligible reserve collateral?
- Mixing collateral complicates risk assessment and potential stability.

Caution: Misinterpretations

- Frameworks and official statements can create unintended interpretations.
- The Hinman speech controversy in the SEC vs. Ripple case serves as an example.
- Clear, consistent regulatory approaches are crucial.